Energy of mixing and entropy of mixing for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys

Fysol Ibna Abbas,[1] G. M. Bhuiyan,[1, a)] and A.Z. Ziauddin Ahmed[1]

Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh

The free energy of mixing and the entropy of mixing for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys have been systematically investigated by using the electronic theory of metals along with the perturbation approach at a thermodynamic state $T = 1373$ K. The interionic interaction and a reference liquid are the fundamental components of the theory. The interionic interaction is described by a local pseudopotential. A liquid of hard spheres (HS) of two different effective diametres is used to describe the reference system for alloys. The results of the calculations for energy of mixing agree well with the available experimental data. Calculation of entropy of mixing is parameter free and, the agreement with experiment, in this case, is found to be fairly good.

PACS numbers: 05.20.Gg; 05.70.-a

Keywords: Binary alloy, Energy of mixing, Entropy of mixing, Perturbation theory, HS liquid.

arXiv:1607.05827v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 20 Jul 2016

[a)]Electronic mail: gbhuiyan@du.ac.bd

I. INTRODUCTION

In this article we have systematically investigated the energy of mixing and entropy of mixing for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys at temperature 1373 K, from the first principles approach, specifically from the perturbation method, and the electronic theory of metals (ETM). Recently, an increasing interest on the study of physical properties of Cu_xAl_{1-x} binary alloys has been observed[1–6]. Study of mixing behavior of an alloy formed by two or more elemental metals is however important not only to understand the above thermodynamic behaviors alone but also to understand underlying mechanisms of other characterisric properties of matter such as segragation of alloys, phase transition, the glass transition temperature, and stability of liquid metals and their alloys at different thermodynamic states. It is worth mentioning here that, the first application of the thermodynamic perturbation theory along with the Gibbs-Bogoliubov variational scheme for the liquid binary alloys was due Umar *et al.*[7]. Along the same line, thermodynamic properties are studied by some authors[8–11] and, thus advanched our knowledge. But in the present investigation we have used the first principles perturbation approach without variational scheme[12–14]. This approach uses the full potential profile in the theory and, allows us to understand different mechanisms involved in determining the mixing behavior of alloys. We further note that the present approach contains an important additional term in the theory than the approach of perturbation theory with variation[7,8] provides.

The energy of mixing, and entropy of mixing are not independent to each other in thermodynamics, these are rather related by the following thermodynamic relation,

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S \tag{1}$$

where ΔG, ΔH denote the Gibbs free energy of mixing and enthalpy of mixing. We note that at low pressure (one or two atmospheric pressure) $\Delta G \simeq \Delta F$ and $\Delta H \simeq \Delta E$, where ΔF and ΔE are Helmholtz free energy of mixing and internal energy of mixing, respectively. We further note that, at zero pressure the above relations between G and F, and H and E become exactly equal. Henceforth by the term energy of mixing we shall mean ΔF if not otherwise stated. However, evaluation of energy of mixing directly involves the full profile of the interionic pair potentials wheares the entropy of mixing is related directly to the

derivative of free energy with respect of temperature. So, it is always a challenging task to calculate entropy of mixing of liquid binary alloys theoretically. Because, the success of the entropy calculation depends not on the free energy value but on the accuracy of the detailed shape of the free energy as a function of temperature. For example, Asta *et al.*[15] shows that computer simulation along with different sophisticated EAM potentials[16–18] can predict the enthalpy of mixing well, but fails to describe entropy of mixing on the same footing. It is worth nothing that the energy of mixing predicts stability from the principle of minimization of free energy and, the entropy of mixing from the principle of maximum entropy. But ΔF and ΔS together can put the prediction on the firm basis. On the other hand, the knowledge of entropy may be used to study the atomic transport properties through different scaling laws[19–22].

Cu_xAl_{1-x} binary alloy is formed by two different elements Al and Cu. Al in the solid phase has fcc crystalline structure. It is a relatively soft, durable, light weight, ductile and malleable metal. Al is a good electrical and thermal conductor, and also a superconductor. It is a polyvalent system with chemical valence of 3. Elemental Cu has fcc structure in the solid phase and characterized by high ductility, electrical and thermal conductivity. Although d-shell in this elements is filled by electrons, the d-band effect to the interionic interactions through $s-d$ hybridization is still there, which sometimes plays significant role in determining physical properties of Cu. However, Cu_xAl_{1-x} alloys display negative deviation from the Rault's law. This alloy is characterized by a large amount of intermetallic phases in the solid state.

As discussed above, Al is a simple polyvalent metal but Cu exhibits d-band effects thorugh the sp-d hybridization. It is therefore urging a model for interionic interactions that can handle separately both simple metals with extended sp-band and transition metals with narrow d-band adequately. In this regard the Bretonnet-Silbert (BS) pseudopotential model[23] is a promosing candidate, because, it treats sp and d-band separately within the well established pseudopotential theory. Here, the sp-band is described via the empty core model and, the d-band effect is derived from the d-band scattering phase shift by using an inverse scattering approach. The resulting model reduces to a simple local form which appears similar to that of well known Heine-Aberenkov (HA) model[24]. The main difference

between these two models is that the core term in the BS model is derived from the scattering phase shift and is a function of interionic distance and, in the HA model is a square well potential, which is derived by the quantum defect method. Moreover, the BS model has already been proven to be successful in the study of static structure of liquid metals and their alloys[25–28], atomic transport properties[29–31], thermodynamics[32–35], electronic transport properties[36] and even a complicated phenomena of segregation[12–14]. Note that the norm-conserving non-local pseudopotentials[37] are in principle, preferred for accurate predictions, but there are evidences that, the local potentials[38] describe physical properties, in some cases, even better than the former ones.

In order to study the thermodynamics of mixing of liquid binary alloys several theories have been put forward; of them the quasilattice theory[39], the electronic theory of metals (ETM)[7,9,34,35], computer simulation method[40], empirical linear free energy[41] and the free volume model[1] are commonly used. In the semi-empirical quasi lattice theory the activity is expressed in terms of average interionic interaction energy and the formation of mixing via the Gibbs free energy. The enrgy of mixing is then evaluated by fitting to the experimental data of the activity. The linear free energy theory and the free volume model are totally empirical ones. The electronic theory of models (ETM) used in this work is based on the energy band structure of electons, a characristic feature of metals, and thus evolved as a microscopic theory of metal. The static structure factor is determined from the knowledge of interionic pair interions, obtained from the band structure energy, through the statistical mechanics[42]. Most importantly, in the present approach, each term in the ETM and the mechanism of its origin are clearly understandable from the physical point of view.

It is well known that the first principles perturbation theory requires such a reference system which can closely resemble the concerned real system[43]. There are many experimental as well as theoretical evidences that the HS theory of liquid can describe the structure of simple and transition metals and their binary alloys[44–46]. Being prompted by the above history of success we employ HS reference system within the Percus-Yevick approximation (HSPY)[47] in the present work. It is very much relevant to note here that, calculation of the static structure of HSPY liquid system requires the knowledge of effective hard sphere diameter (HSD). There are different methods to determine HSD for a liquid metal. Of them we

have chosen the linearized Week-Chandler-Anderson (LWCA) termodynamic perturbation theory. The base of the LWCA theory is the WCA theory, which was first applied in Ref[48].

This report is organized in the following way. Theories relavant to the present calculations for thermodynamics of mixing are briefly described in section II. Section III is devoted to the presentation of results of calculation, and for discussion. We, finally, conclude this article with some remarks in section IV.

II. THEORY

For calculating the energy of mixing and entropy of mixing for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloy at 1373 K we have used different theories. Some of the relevant theories namely the effective partial pair potentials, partial pair correlation functions for HS binary liquids, energy of mixing and entropy of mixing for liquid binary alloy are briefly described below.

A. The effective partial pair potential

The local pseudopotential for one component metallic systems may be modeled by the superposition of the *sp*-band and *d*-band contributions as[23],

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{m=1}^{2} B_m \exp(-r/ma) & \text{if } r < R_c \\ -Z/r & \text{if } r > R_c \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where a, R_c and Z are the softness parameter, core radius and the effective s-electron occupancy, respectively. The term inside the core of Eq. (2) is deduced from the *d*-band scattering phase shift by using an inverse scattering approach. The term outside the core is just the Coulomb interaction between a positive ion and a conduction electron. The coefficients of expansion in the core are related to the model parameters as

$$B_1 = \frac{Z}{R_c}\left(1 - \frac{2a}{R_C}\right)\exp\left(\frac{R_C}{a}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$B_2 = \frac{2Z}{R_c}\left(\frac{a}{R_C} - 1\right)\exp\left(\frac{R_C}{a}\right) \tag{4}$$

The effective partial pair potentials may be written within the framework of the pseudopotential theory as[49]

$$v_{ij}(r) = \frac{Z_i Z_j}{r}\left[1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\int dq\, F_{ij}^N \frac{\sin(qr)}{q}\right] \tag{5}$$

where, the indices i and j represent the ionic species i and j.

In the above equaton, F_{ij}^N is the normalized energy wave number characteristics and can be expressed as

$$F_{ij}^N = \left[\frac{q^2}{\pi a \rho\sqrt{(Z_i Z_j)}}\right]^2 V_i(q)V_j(q)\left[1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon(q)}\right]\left[\frac{1}{1-G(q)}\right] \tag{6}$$

where, $V_i(q)$ denotes the local pseudopotential for the ith component and ρ the number density of ions, $\varepsilon(q)$ and $G(q)$ the dielectric screening function and the local field factor, respectively. Here, the dielectric function

$$\varepsilon(q) = 1 - \frac{\frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2}\chi(q)}{1 + \frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2}G(q)\chi(q)} \tag{7}$$

where $\chi(q)$ is the Lindhard function,

$$\chi(q) = -\frac{mk_F}{\pi^2\hbar^2}\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4k_F^2 - q^2}{8qk_F}\ln\left|\frac{2k_F + q}{2k_F - q}\right|\right] \tag{8}$$

B. The LWCA theory

The starting point of the LWCA theory[50] is the thermodynamic perturbation theory[51]. The blip functon in the theory can be written as,

$$B(r) = Y_\sigma\left\{\exp[-\beta v(r)] - \exp[\beta v_\sigma(r)]\right\} \tag{9}$$

hence, $v(r)$ and $v_\sigma(r)$ are the soft and hard sphere (HS) potentials, respectively. β is the inverse temperature divided by the Boltzmann constant, and $Y_\sigma(r)$ known as the cavity function. $Y_\sigma(r)$ is associated with the hard sphere distribution function and is continuous at $r = \sigma$. If we plot $B(r)$, as a function of r, it will give two sharp teeth shaped feature. In the LWCA theory this has been approximated by right angle triangles. The Fourier transformation of B(r) at $k = 0$ is then expanded in terms of Bessel's functions. Thus the

thermodynamic condition that for an effective hard sphere diameter $B(k = 0)$ vanishes, leads to the transcendental equation,

$$\beta v(\sigma) = \ln \left[\frac{-2\beta\sigma v'(\sigma) + Y + 2}{-\beta\sigma v'(\sigma) + Y + 2} \right] \tag{10}$$

for elemental system[25]. In the case of alloys v is replaced by v_{ii} and σ by σ_{ii}. Equation (10) is solved graphically to obtain the effective hard sphere diameter. We note here that, σ_{12} determined from equation (10) is not strictly additive, but yields a value very close to $(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22})/2$ (deviation is about 0.1% only). So, following Ref. 55 we use the average value $(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22})/2$ for σ_{12} in order for compliance with the additive hard sphere theory used in the present study.

C. Pair distribution function

We calculate the Ashcroft-Langreth (AL) partial structure factors, $S_{ij}(q)$, in line with their original work[53]. In order to evaluate partial structure factors, the essential ingredients are the concentrations of two spheres in the mixture and the effective HSD. The values for the effective HSDs for binary alloy are obtained from the LWCA theory and are presented in Table I. The partial pair correlation functions necessary for real space calculation are derived from the Fourier transformation of $\mathrm{S}_{ij}(q)$ in the following way:

$$g_{ij}(r) = 1 + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 \rho \sqrt{C_i C_j}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (g(r) - 1)\, e^{i\vec{q}.\vec{r}}\, d^3r \tag{11}$$

where ρ is the ionic number density of the alloy and C_i denotes the concentration of the i-th component.

D. Energy of mixing for liquid binary alloys

Within the first order perturbation theory, the Helmholtz free energy per ion for an alloy may be written, in general as,

$$F = F_{vol} + F_{eg} + F_{HS} + F_{Tail} \tag{12}$$



FIG. 1. Partial pair potentials for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys for concentrations x=0.1, 0.5, 0.9, respectively.

where subscripts vol, eg, HS, Tail denote volume dependent, electron gas, hard sphere and tail of potential contributions, respectively. The volume contribution term can be written as[54],

$$F_{vol} = \frac{1}{32\pi^3}\int_0^\infty q^4 \left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon(q)} - 1\right\} |\, v_i(q)|^2 dq - \frac{ZE_F}{3P} \tag{13}$$

where, E_F is the Fermi energy for electrons. And Z is the effective valence of alloy, ($Z = xZ_1 + (1-x)Z_{1-x}$), $v(q)$ is the average form factor of the electron-ion interaction for the alloy, $\varepsilon(q)$ is the dielectric function. Here, in Eq. (13) $P = \chi_{el}/\chi_F$, where χ denotes the

isothermal compressibility and subcripts el and F stand for interacting and free electron.

Now, the electron gas contribution to the free energy per electron may be written as (in Rydbergs units)

$$\frac{F_{eg}}{Z} = \left[\frac{2.21}{{r_s}^2} - \frac{0.916}{r_s} + 0.031 \ln r_s - 0.115\right] \tag{14}$$

where, r_s is the dimensionless parameter defined as

$$r_s = (\frac{3}{4\pi\rho Z})^{\frac{1}{3}}/a_0 \tag{15}$$

a_0 being the first Bohr radius. The ionic number density of the alloy

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_1\rho_2}{(C_1\rho_2 + C_2\rho_1)}. \tag{16}$$

Free energy per atom of the reference HS liquid (using $C_1 = x$ and $C_2 = 1 - x$) is,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{F_{HS}}{k_BT} &= \sum_i \left[-\ln(\Lambda_i^3 v) + \ln C_i\right] \\ &- \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{5}{3} - y_1 + y_2 + y_3\right) \\ &+ \frac{(3y_2 - 2y_3)}{(1-\eta)} \\ &+ \frac{3}{2}\frac{(1 - y_1 + y_2 + \frac{y_3}{3})}{(1-\eta)^2} \\ &+ (y_3 - 1)\ln(1-\eta),\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where,

$$\Lambda_i = \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{{m_1}^{C_1}{m_2}^{C_2}k_BT}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{18}$$

m_i is the ionic mass and, packing fraction is

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= \sum_i \eta_i; \\ \eta_i &= \frac{C_i\pi\rho_i\sigma_{ii}^3}{6}\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

here, C_i is the atomic concentration of i-th component,

$$y_1 = \sum_{j>i} \Delta_{ij}(\sigma_{ii} + \sigma_{jj})/(\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj})^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{20}$$

and, σ_{ii} and σ_{jj} are the additive hard sphere diameter (HSD).

$$y_2 = \sum_{j>i} \Delta_{ij} \sum_k (\frac{\eta_k}{\eta})^{\frac{1}{2}} / \sigma_{kk} \tag{21}$$

$$y_3 = \left[\sum_i (\frac{\eta_i}{\eta})^{\frac{2}{3}} C_i^{\frac{1}{3}} \right]^3 \tag{22}$$

$$\Delta_{ij} = \left[(\eta_i \eta_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \eta \right] \left[(\sigma_{ii} - \sigma_{jj})^2 / \sigma_{ii} \sigma_{jj} \right] (C_i C_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{23}$$

In the above equation C_i, ρ_i, and σ_{ii} denote the atomic concentration, ionic number density, and the effective hard sphere diameter (HSD) of the i-th component, respectively. The contribution of tail part is written as,

$$F_{Tail} = D \sum_{ij} C_i C_j M_{ij} \tag{24}$$

where, C_i and C_j is the atomic concentration of i-th and j-th component, respectively, and

$$D = 2\pi\rho \tag{25}$$

ρ is the ionic number density and

$$M_{ij} = \int_\sigma^\infty v_{ij}(r) g_{ij}^{HS}(r, \sigma_{ij}, \rho)\, r^2 dr \tag{26}$$

where, v_{ij}(r) and g_{ij}(r) are partial pair potential and pair correlation functions, respectively.

Finally, the energy of mixing for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloy reads,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta F &= F - \sum_i C_i F^{(i)} \\ &= \Delta F_{vol} + \Delta F_{HS} + \Delta F_{eg} + \Delta F_{Tail} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where, $F^{(i)}$ represents the free energy for the i-th elemental component.

E. Entropy of mixing

In the the perturbative approach the entropy per ion in the unit of k_B may be expressed for the liquid binary alloy as[35]

$$\frac{S}{Nk_B} = \frac{S_{HS}}{Nk_B} + \frac{S_{Tail}}{Nk_B} \tag{28}$$

TABLE I. Partial hard sphere diameters for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys for different concentrations at $T = 1373$ K.

x	$\sigma_{11}(\mathring{A})$	$\sigma_{22}(\mathring{A})$
0.0	0.00	2.6924
0.1	2.480	2.6846
0.2	2.489	2.6912
0.3	2.499	2.6963
0.4	2.508	2.7004
0.5	2.516	2.7034
0.6	2.522	2.7034
0.7	2.527	2.7032
0.8	2.531	2.6968
0.9	2.532	2.6780
1.0	2.547	0.00

where S_{Tail} is the contribution of the tail of the interionic interaction and, the hard sphere contribution S_{HS} is given by

$$\frac{S_{HS}}{Nk_B} = \frac{S_{ideal}}{Nk_B} + \frac{S_{gas}}{Nk_B} + \frac{S_{\eta}}{Nk_B} + \frac{S_{\sigma}}{Nk_B} \tag{29}$$

The ideal term is written as

$$\frac{S_{ideal}}{Nk_B} = -\left[x \ln x + (1-x)\ln(1-x)\right] \tag{30}$$

where x is the concentration of the first element. The gas term,

$$\frac{S_{gas}}{Nk_B} = \frac{5}{2} + \ln\left[\frac{1}{\rho}\left\{\frac{m_1^x m_2^{(1-x)} k_B T}{2\pi\hbar^2}\right\}^{3/2}\right], \tag{31}$$

the packing term,

$$\frac{S_{\eta}}{Nk_B} = \ln(1-\eta) + \frac{3}{2}[1-(1-\eta)^{-2}], \tag{32}$$



FIG. 2. Pair correlation functions for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys for concentrations x=0.1, 0.5, and 0.9, respectively.

and the diameter mismatch term,

$$\frac{S_\sigma}{Nk_B} = \left[\frac{\pi x\,(1-x)\rho\,(\sigma_{11}-\sigma_{22})^2\,(1-\eta)^{-2}}{24}\right]$$

$$\times\left\{\left[12\,(\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{22}) - \pi\rho\,(x\sigma_{11}^4+(1-x))\sigma_{22}^4)\right]\right\}, \tag{33}$$

η denotes the packing fraction

$$\eta = \frac{\pi\rho}{6}\left[x\sigma_{11}^3+(1-x))\sigma_{22}^3\right]. \tag{34}$$

The tail part of the entropy for the elemental system may be expressed as

$$\frac{S_{Tail}}{Nk_B} = \frac{1}{Nk_B}\left(\frac{\partial F_{Tail}}{\partial T}\right)_{\Omega}, \tag{35}$$

and for the binary alloys as

$$\frac{S_{Tail}^{Alloy}}{Nk_B} = \frac{1}{Nk_B}\left[\left(\frac{\partial F_{Tail}}{\partial T}\right)_{\Omega,\rho,\sigma_{ii}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial F_{Tail}}{\partial \sigma_{ii}}\right)_{\Omega,T}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{ii}}{\partial T}\right)_{\Omega,\rho}\right]. \tag{36}$$

The values for $(\partial F_{Tail}/\partial T)_{\Omega,\rho,\sigma_{ii}}$ and $(\partial F_{Tail}/\partial \sigma_{ii})_{\Omega,T}$ are solved numerically by the process of partial differentiation. To evaluate $\partial\sigma/\partial T$, we used the well known formula due to protopapas *et al.*[55],

$$\sigma = 1.126\sigma_m\left\{1 - 0.112\left(\frac{T}{T_m}\right)^{1/2}\right\} \tag{37}$$

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_i}{\partial T} = -0.063\sigma_{im}\frac{1}{(T_m T)^{1/2}}; \tag{38}$$

where the subscript m indicates the thermodynamic properties at melting temperature. This equation is adequate for a good qualitative description of the physical properties under study.

Finally, the formula for the entropy of mixing stands as

$$\Delta S = S - \sum_i C_i Si = \Delta S_{HS} + \Delta S_{Tail}. \tag{39}$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of claculations for energy of mixing and entropy of mixing are presented in this section for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys. The perturbation theory along with the electronic theory of metal is applied to perform the calculations. The basic ingradients required for the real space calculation are the effective interionic partial potentials $V_{ij}(r)$ and the corresponding pair correlations functions of the reference system.

Elements forming Cu_xAl_{1-x} alloys are Al and Cu; the first element is a polyvalenet simple metal and the second one is a noble metal often recognized as the $3d$ transition



FIG. 3. Total Energyy as a function of concentration at T=1373 K for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys.

metals, because of the presence of the *sp-d* hybridization effect. So, we have employed the BS model to describe the interionic interaction of both the simple and transition metals simultaneously. Three parameters of the BS model are the core radius, R_c, the softness parameter, a, and the valence Z. The value of R_c is generally fixed by fitting physical properties of the concerned systems[56,57]. We have taken the values of R_c for l-Al from Ref. 61 and for l-Cu from Ref. 62. The value for the former is 1.91 au and for the latter is 1.44 au. The softness parameter 'a' is determined by the best fitting of the LWCA structure factor to the experimental ones[44]. The values of 'a' thus found for Al and Cu are 0.49 and 0.30 au, rspectively. The quality of fit is shown in the Appendix. Regarding the third parameter called effective s-electron occupancy number, Z_s, we take the chemical valenece 3 for Al and, 1.32 for Cu due to the presence of *sp-d* hybridization effect in Cu[59]. We note here that, the dielectric function (see Eqn. (7)) plays an important role in determining the effective pair potential profile. In this work we have used the dielectric function proposed by Icimaru and Utsumi[60], because, their theory satisfies the compressibility sum rule and the short range correlation conditions for the wide range of metallic density.

FIG.1. shows the effective partial pair potentials for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys for three different concentrations, specificly for x=0.1, 0.5 and 0.9. The position of the principal



FIG. 4. Energy of mixing as a function of concentration at T=1373 K for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys.

potential minima and the depth of the potential well are results of deliate balance between the repulsive and attractive interactions in metals. In the pseudopotential formalism this is accomplished by the direct interaction between different ion cores and by the indirect interaction mediated by conduction electrones. In this case the dielectric function plays an important role in determining the appropriate potential profile. From FIG. 1 it is noticed that the depth of the potential well is the largest for V_{Cu-Cu} and the smallest for V_{Al-Al}. The well for V_{Cu-Al} lies in between for concentration $x < 0.9$. For $x = 0.9$ the potential well for V_{Cu-Al} goes below to that of V_{Cu-Cu}. This feature is very unusual and we have not observed in any alloy we have studied so far. In all cases we found V_{12} in between V_{11} and V_{22} without any exception. It is known that the posititive magnitude of $V_{Ord} = V_{Cu-Al} - (V_{Cu-Cu} + V_{Al-Al})/2$ indicates a tendency of segregation to occur and, the negative magnitude indicates a tendency of mixing. But if the negativity is unusally large, as in the present case, one may attribute it to the tendency of compound formation. But drawing a concret conclusion regarding this feature requires further study in detail.

Partial pair correlation function g_{ij} for liquid Cu_xAl_{1-x} binary alloys calculated from the LWCA theory are shown in FIG. 2. It is noticed that for $x = 0.1$, i.e. in the Al rich alloys the principal peak of $g_{Al-Al}(r)$ is much larger than that of $g_{Cu-Cu}(r)$ and this trend reverses

for $x = 0.9$, that is when the alloy becomes rich in Cu concentration. The simple reason of it is, in the Al rich alloys the probability of finding a second Al ion from the one at origin is higher than that of finding a Cu ion and vice versa. This trend in principle, suggests that for $x = 0.5$ the principal peak value of both $g_{Cu-Cu}(r)$ and $g_{Al-Al}(r)$ should be of equal magnitudes. This is exactly reflected in FIG. 2(b). We note here that, for some alloys a slight variation of peak values of $g_{Cu-Cu}(r)$ and $g_{Al-Al}(r)$ for $x = 0.5$ might be arised due to size difference of hard sphere. TABLE-I illustrates the values of effective hard sphere diametres determined from the LWCA theory. A close look at the table reveals that the HSD σ_{Cu-Cu} increases and that of σ_{Al-Al} decreases with increasin Cu concentration. This feature of variation of ionic size may be attributed to the charge transfer that occurs from one species of atom to another species in the alloy state[61].

A. Energy of mixing

FIG. 3 illustrates different parts of Helmholtz free energy for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloy obtained using the ETM in conjunction with the perturbation theory. It is seen that the largest contribution arises from the HS reference system. This actually menifests the principle of perturbation approach in which the reference system mostly resembles the real system. FIG. 3 also shows that the smallest contribution to the total free energy is coming out from the tail part of the interaction. The magnitude of the volume dependent (i.e structure independent) component of the free energy, F_{Vol}, is very close but slightly lower than that of the F_{Tail} contribution. The electron gas part of the total free energy, F_{eg}, lie in between the F_{Vol} and F_{HS}. Symbolically one can present the magnitude of contribution as in the following order $F_{Tail} < F_{Vol} < F_{eg} < F_{HS}$. Finally the summation of all four contributions yields the total free energy F_{Total} of the alloy which lies at the bottom in the Figure.

FIG. 4 shows the breakdown details of the energy of mixing for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloy calculated at temperature $T = 1373$ K. It is seen that the largest contribution to the total energy of mixing arises from the hard sphere reference system and these are negetive across the full range of concentration. This trend is similar to those of other systems (Ag_xIn_{1-x},



FIG. 5. Entropy of mixing as a function of concentration at T=1373 K for Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys.

Ag_xSn_{1-x}) studied before[34]. The second largest contribution comes out from the volume part of the interaction and this contribution (ΔF_{vol}) is seen to be positive for whole range of concentration. This feature is unlike other miscible alloys[34], but like to those of segregating alloys[? ?] . The third and the fourth largest contributions come from the electron gas and tail part of the interactions, respectively. The total energy of mixing obatined from the algebric sum of the four components agree well with the corresponding experimental data[62]. More specifically, the agreement is excellent upto equiatomic concentration i.e. upto $x = 0.5$, and after that it is just good from the point of view of experimental uncertainty (± 0.01ev). Most importantly the minimum of ΔF is found to be near the equatomic concentration as suggested by the experiment[62].

B. Entropy of mixing

Equation (28) shows that both the hard and soft part of the interionic intaraction contribute to the total entropy of the system. The hard sphere part, S_{HS}, combines the ideal term S_{id}, the gas term, S_{gas}, packing term, S_η, and the HSD mismatch term, S_σ, together. The softpart arises due to the attractive interaction represented by the tail of the potentials.

There are evidences[48] that the HS system alone cannot account for vibrational contribution to the entropy. We must note here that the contribution of the second term of equation (36) disappears if variational perturbation method is used instead of the perturbation approach without variation; thus the theory turns nearly into that of the HS alone. We believe that the perturbative approach alone would give in some way a contribution to compensate the vibrational effect in liquid alloys of our concerned alloys. The work by Kumaravadivel and Evans[48] lends support to our interpretation. The breakdown details of the entropy of mixing, ΔS, for liquid Cu_xAl_{1-x} binary alloys at T=1373 K are shown in FIG. 5. It is seen that the tail part contribution to the entropy of mixing, ΔS_{tail}, plays the dominant role in determining the total entropy of mixing. It is positive across the whole concentration range. The second largest contribution is arising from the HSD mismatch term, but it is negative across the whole range of concentration. The third largest contribution to ΔS comes from the HS reference system and it is denoted by ΔS_{HS}. The contribution of the volume part of the interionic interaction, ΔS_{eg} is the least in the present case, the magnitude of it is almost zero. This feature of ΔS_{eg} is unlike that of the ΔF_{eg}, because the latter contribution to the energy of mixing is significant. FIG. 5 also shows that ΔS is not symmetric across the equiatomic concentration, it is rather assymmetric in nature. The maximum of ΔS is around concentration $x = 0.8$, whereas the maximum of experimental data is at $x = 0.6$. The discrepancy between the positions of the maxima of theoretical and experimental ΔS may be attributed to the corresponding difference between the theory and experiment of ΔF in the Cu-rich alloy (see FIG.4). However, in the present study the overall agreement of ΔS with the experimental ones for whole range of concentration is not bad when the difficulty of calculation of entropy from the first principles method is cinsidered.

IV. CONCLUSION

We, in the present study, have systematically investigated the energy of mixing and the entropy of mixing for liquid Cu_xAl_{1-x} binary alloys employing the perturbation method along with the electronic theory of metals. Although the Helmholtz free energy and entropy are closely related thermodynamically, the entropy of mixing is much more difficult to calculate



FIG. 6. Static structure factors for liquid Cu and Al. Triangles and rectangular bars represent the theoretical and experimental results, respectively.

as mentioned before. This is because, the accuracy of the latter depends on the presise shape of the former one in F versus T curve which is difficult to have in numetical calculation. From the above results and discussion we can, however, draw the following conclusions. The ETM as described via a local pseudopotential (BS) model in conjunction with the perturbative approach is able to describe the energy of mixing for Cu_xAl_{1-x} binary alloys with a great degree of accuracy. In the case of entropy of mixing the calculation is completely free from any adjustable parameter. From this point of view result for the entropy of mixing is fairly good qualitatively. The main cause of discrepancy between theory and experiment in the Cu-rich alloy is, in our view, due to the existance of the complicated d-band characteristics including $s-d$ hybridization effect in Cu. In addition, the tendency to form a compound in the Cu rich alloy might also be responsible to widen the discrepancy; in order to establish it further research is required. So, apprantly, a quantitative description of thermodynamic properties of Cu_xAl_{1-x} liquid binary alloys from the present approach requires a precise account for the d-band effects in the interionic interaction for Cu. As our present approach provides total entropy of the elemental and alloy systems it may be extended to the study of atomic transport properties through the universal scaling laws[19,20]. Our present approach for free energy calculation may also be applied to the study of temperature dependent properties

such as in theory of melting for metallic systems.

V. APPENDIX: DETERMINATION OF THE SOFTNESS PARAMETER

The values of the softness parameter of the Bretonnet Silbert local potentials are determined by fitting the LWCA static structure factors to the corresponding X-ray diffraction data[44]. The quality of fit are shown in FIG.6.

REFERENCES

[1]M. Trybula, N. Jakse, W. Gasior and A.Pasturel, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials, **60**, 649 (2007).

[2]Y.A. Odusote, Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids **402**, 96 (2014).

[3]M. Schick, J.Brillo, I. Egry, B.hallsfedt, J. Mater.Sci **47**, 8145 (2012).

[4]J.schmitzz, J.Brillo, I.Egry and R.Schmid-Fetzer-Int. J.Met Res. **100**, 11 (2009).

[5]Bo. zhang, Axel Griessche, A.Meyer, diffusion fundamentals. org, **11**,100 (2009).

[6]G. Arzpeyma, A.E. gheribi, M.medraj, J.Chem. Thermodynamics **57**, 82 (2013).

[7]I.H. Umar, A. Mayer, M.Watabe, W.H. Young. J.Phys. F. Metal. Phys. **4**,1691 (1974).

[8]I. Yokohama, A meyer, M.J.Stott and W./H.Young, Philos Mag **35**, 1021 (1977).

[9]D. Stroud, Phys. Rev. B**7** , 4405 (1973).

[10]S. Tamaki, Phys. Letter. A **40**, 17 (1972).

[11]D. Stroud, Phys. Rev. B**8**, 1308 (1973).

[12]M. M. Faruk and G.M. Bhuiyan Physica B **422**, 56 (2013).

[13]M. M. Faruk, G.M. Bhuiyan, A. bishwas and Md. sazzad Hossain, J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 134505 (2014).

[14]Md. Riad kasem, G.M. Bhuiyan and Md. H. U. Maruf, J. chem Physics **143**, 034503 (2015).

[15]M. Asta, D. Morgan, J.J. Hoyt, B.sadish, J.D. Allhoff, D. de Eontaine and S.M. Fíolhais, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 14271 (1999).

[16]S.M. Fíolhais, and M.S.Daw, J. mater. Res. **2**, 5 (1987).

[17]A.F. Voter and S.P. chem, in Characterization of deDefects in Materials, M R S Symposium proccedings Vol. **82** editted by R.W. Seigel, J.R.Wcertman and R. Sinclair (materials research study, Pittsburgh, 1987).

[18]M Ludwig and D. Gumbsch, Mudell Simmul. Mater. Sci. Eng. **3**, 533 (1995).

[19]M. Dzugutov, Nature **381**, 137 (1996).

[20]Y. Rosenfeld, Phys. Rev. A **15**, 2545 (1977).

[21]J. L. Bretonnet, J. Chem. Phys. **117**, 9370 (2002).

[22]R.C.Gosh, M.R. Amin, G.M. Bhuiyan, J. Mol liq. **188**, 148 (2013).

[23]J. L. Bretonnet, M. Silbert. Phys.Chem. Liq. **24**, 169 (1992).

[24]V. Heine, I. Aberenkov, Phil. Mag. **9**, 451 (1964).

[25]J. L. Bretonnet, G. M. Bhuiyan, M. Silbert, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **4**, 5351 (1992).

[26]G. M. Bhuiyan, J. L. Bretonnet and M. Silbert, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **156-158**, 145 (1993).

[27]G. M. Bhuiyan, J. L. Bretonnet, L.E. González and M. Silbert, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **4**, 7651 (1992).

[28]M.A. Khaleque, G.M. Bhuiyan, S. Sharmin, R.I.A. Rashid and S. M. Mujibur Rahman Eur. Phys. J, B **26**, 319 (2002).

[29]G. M. Bhuiyan, I. Ali, S. M. Rahman. Physica B **334**, 147 (2003).

[30]E.H. Bhuiyan, A.Z.Ziauddin Ahmed, G.M. Bhuiyan and M. Shahjahan, Physica B **403**, 1695 (2008).

[31]S.Chandan, A.Z.Ziauddin Ahmed, G.M. Bhuiyan, S.K. barman and S. Sarkar, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **357**, 3774 (2011).

[32]S. Sharmin, G.M. Bhuiyan, M.A. Khaleque, R.I.M.A. Rashid and S. M. Mujibur Rahman, Phys. Stat. Solidi B**232**, 243 (2002).

[33]F. Zahid, G.M. Bhuiyan, S. Sultana, M.A. Khaleque, R.I.A. Rashid and S. M. Mujibur Rahman, Phys Status. Solidi B, **215**, 987 (1999).

[34]G.M. Bhuiyan, A.Z.Ziauddin Ahmed. Physica B **390**, 377 (2007).

[35]G.M. Bhuiyan, Md. Saiful alam, A.Z.Ziauddin Ahmed, Ishtiaque M. Syed and R.I.M.A. Rashid. J.Chem.Phys. **131**, 034502 (2009).

[36]A.Z.Ziauddin Ahmed, G.M. Bhuiyan, M. S. Alam, M.M Rana and R.I.M.A. Rashid. Int J. Mod. Phys. B**24**, 5717 (2010).

[37]R. car and M. Parrinello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 685 (1985).

[38]C. Fíolhais, J.P.perdew, S.Q. Armster, J.M. maclaren, M.Brajeszewska, Phys. Rev. B**5**, 14001 (1995).

[39]A.B. Bhatia, R.N.Sing, Phys. chem. Liq. **13**, 177 (1984).

[40]J.J. Hoyt, M.Asta, Phys. Rev. B**65**, 214106 (2002).

[41]D.A Sverjensky, P.A. Molling, Nature **356**, 231 (1992).

[42]D.A. McQuarrie, Statistical mechanics (Harper and Raw, New York, 1976).

[43]B.H. Bransden and C. J. Joachen, Introduction to Quantum Mechanics, Longman Group UK Ltd. (1997) p 355.

[44]Y. Waseda, The Structure of Non-Crystalline Materials, McGraw-Hill, (1980).

[45]M. Shimuji, Liquid Metals: An Introduction to the Physics and Chemistry of Metals in the liquid states, Acdemic Press, London 1977.

[46]J. Hafner, Hamiltonians to Phase Diagrams, Springer, Berlin, (1987).

[47]J. K.Percus and G.J. Yevick, Phys. Rev. **110**, 1 (1958).

[48]R.Kumaravadivel and R. Evans, J. Phys. C **9**, 3877 (1976).

[49]W. A. Harrison, Pseudopotentials in the Theory of Metals. Benjamin, New York, (1966).

[50]A. Mayer, M. Silbert, W. H. Young, Chem. Phys. **49**, 47 (1980).

[51]J. D. Weeks, D.Chandler and H.C.Andersen, J. Chem. Phys. **54**, 5237 (1971).

[52]L. L. Lee, and D. Levesque, Mol. Phys. **26**, 1351 (1973).

[53]N. W. Ashcroft, D. C. Lagrength, Phys. Rev. **156**, 685 (1967).

[54]M. Hasegawa, M. Watabe, J. Phys, Soc. Japan **32**, 14 (1972).

[55]P.Protopapas, H.C. Andersen and N.A.D. Parlee, J. Chem. Phys. **59**, 15 (1973).

[56]C. Regaunlt, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter **76**, 179 (1989).

[57]Ch. Husleitner, G.Khal, J.Hafner, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **3**, 1589 (1991).

[58]A. I. Landa, A. A. Yuryev, A. V. Ruban, E. G. Gurskaya, Yu. K. Kovneristyi and N. A. Vatolin. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **3**, 9229 (1991).

[59]G.M. Bhuiyan, L.E. González and D.J. González, Condensed Matter Physics, **15**, 33604 (2012).

[60]S. Icimaru and K. Utsumi, Phys. Rev. B **24**, 7385 (1981).

[61]K. Hoshino and W.H. Young, J.Phys. F: Met. Phys. **10**, 1365 (1980).

[62]R. Hultgren, R.D. Desai, D.T. Hawking, M.Gleiser, K.K. Keluey, Selected Values of the Thermodynamics Properties of Binary Alloys, American Society of Metals (1973).